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Overview

- Why mission alignment
- How leading foundations are doing it
- The available „toolkit“
- Trends we are observing in Europe
- Answers to 6 questions that all trustees ask
  - Compatible with fiduciary duty?
  - Will it hurt returns?
  - Can it be applied to the whole endowment?
  - How efficient and - Resource intensive is it?
  - How can I get started?
Two different reactions to lower portfolio returns since the financial crisis...

• „Forget about mission – We need to reduce investment costs to the max by investing in passive index trackers“

• „More than ever we need to use all our resources in a creative way (including the capital) to achieve our mission“
...and what stakeholders increasingly expect

- A greater degree of transparency and consistency, including the investment side
- A stronger focus on enabling a sustainable development of our economy and financial system
- A wider „toolbox“ that includes entrepreneurial approaches for solving societal challenges
Successful examples of European foundations that are practicing mission-aligned investing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SRI Approach</th>
<th>Best-in-Class / Negative Screening</th>
<th>Positive Screening</th>
<th>Thematic Funds</th>
<th>ESG Integration</th>
<th>Active Ownership</th>
<th>Impact Investing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fonds 1818</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends Provident</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fondazione Cariplo</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dreilinden</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church of Sweden</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mistra</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fondation de Luxembourg</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBU</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Investments by Type

- **Traditional Investments**
- **SRI Investments**
- **Impact Investments**

Source: Mistra/onValues
Importance of not defining „mission-alignment“ too narrowly

- Expectations of key stakeholders / „License to operate“
- Values of the foundation
- Mission as defined in the deed

Source: onValues
Importance of knowing the mission alignment „toolkit“ well

Resources needed

Measurable social outcomes

Programme-related investments / Venture philanthropy

Impact investments (mostly private equity and debt)

Thematic (liquid) investments

SRI, active ownership

Below-market financial returns

Competitive financial returns

Source: onValues
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Impact investments strike a balance between financial returns and specificity of social outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Illustrative Examples of Measurable Social or Environmental Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>Increase in productivity or crop yield as a result of improved technology or training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Participation rates of girls in secondary education in sub-Saharan Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>Number of individuals at the base-of-the-pyramid who gain access to electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Tonnes of CO$_2$ equivalent offset as a result of organization’s product or service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Services</td>
<td>Number of micro-insurance products sold to people with AIDS and infected with HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Readmission rate of diabetes patients using innovative product for monitoring health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Reduction in the rate of homelessness among major US cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Number of individuals at the base-of-the-pyramid who gain access to clean water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: WEF, 2013
Very different size of markets

Investment

Global Managed Assets: US$53Tn
Environmental, Social and Governance Focus: US$20Tn
Socially Responsible Investing: US$7Tn
Impact Investing: US$1Tn

Philanthropy

Philanthropy: US$310Bn
Venture Philanthropy

Source: www.asiaiix.com
A country example: UK
(Eiris/CFDG survey 2009)

• 91% of the general public believes that charities should invest ‘ethically’
• 46% of respondents had an ethical investment policy
• 88% use negative screens, 25% use positive screens, 19% engage with companies via their fund managers and 9% vote shares on ethical issues
• The drive for ethical investment comes mainly from trustees (75%), followed by finance directors (45%), chief executives (30%) and supporters (30%).
Country example UK /2
Reasons for introducing an ethical policy

- Avoiding conflicts with charity aims
- Reputational risks
- Alienating supporters and donors
- Alienating beneficiaries or staff
- Addressing ESG risks
- Influencing company behaviour

Source: Eiris/CFDG survey 2009
Negative screening issues
(Eiris/CFDG survey 2009)

- Alcohol
- Animal testing
- Environment
- Gambling
- Genetic engineering
- Human rights
- Intensive farming
- Military involvement
- Nuclear power
- Pornography
- Supply chains
- Tobacco
- Other

Number of respondents
Positive screening issues
(Eiris/CFDG survey 2009)
Trends in the European foundations world

1. Creation of national-level working groups
2. Collaborations with academia
3. Lack of support from investment consultants and mainstream financial institutions
4. Interest is growing: increasing number of events and reports
5. Translating activity to action still a challenge
National working groups

- UK Social Impact Investing Group established by foundations, with national association providing secretarial support
- Working group at German national association
- SwissFoundations working group on mission investing. Focus on developing resources for stimulating trustee discussions and exploring opportunities for foundations to pool resources.
Mission investing a growing topic in events and reports

• In the Netherlands, VBDO publishes benchmark study “Responsible Investment at Dutch Foundations” every year since 2010
• 2012/13 – UK national association issues a major paper on the investment governance of foundations with a dedicated section on connecting mission and investment
• May 2012 – Liechtenstein Congress on Sustainable Development dedicates its opening day to the topic of responsible investing at foundations
• June 2012 - French “Ateliers de la Finance Responsable” in Paris has roundtable of foundation participants
• July 2012 – German national association issues major report on mission investing (author here today)
• Mission investing by foundations features regularly at EFC, EVPA, GIIN conferences
What is the current state of mission investing in Europe?

- Rough estimate of total European foundation assets: €1 trillion
  - Negative screens: 20-30% → ca. €250B ?
  - Positive screens: 5-10% → ca. €75B ?
  - Programme-related/Impact investments: <1% → ca. €5-10B ?

➢ The most important figure is the question mark!

Source: CSI Heidelberg, Feasibility Study for a European Foundation Statute, 2007, onValues analysis
6 key questions

1. Is it compatible with fiduciary duty?
2. Will it hurt financial returns?
3. Can I apply it to my whole investment portfolio? Is there enough choice?
4. Does it involve more work/require special expertise? Who will help me?
5. Is it efficient in terms of generating impact?
6. How do I get started?
1. Compatible with fiduciary duty?

“Trustees have many important responsibilities, perhaps none more so than deciding how and where to invest their organisation’s assets”

Caron Bradshaw
Chief Executive
Charity Finance Directors Group
(cont.) ..compatible with fiduciary duty?

• CFDG: „Trustees may both be permitted and obliged to prioritise ethical over financial considerations if an investment decision would be unsuitable because it would conflict with the charity’s objectives or constitution; or alienate supporters or beneficiaries”

• Answered positively by leading pension funds (UN Principles for Responsible Investment, >500 institutions, $30+ trillion) and law firms

• Situation for impact investments/PRI depends on jurisdiction (usually seen as related to grantmaking)
2. Will it hurt financial returns?

- Studies for the UK CFDG and several academic programs have repeatedly confirmed that there does not appear to be a performance penalty.

- UK Investment Management Association: „Investing ethically does not mean that you have to sacrifice investment performance. As with any investments, some perform better than others”
(cont.) ..will it hurt financial returns?

Harvard Business School study comparing top vs. bottom sustainability performing companies

3. Applicable to whole investment portfolio?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investments by Type</th>
<th>Traditional Investments</th>
<th>SRI</th>
<th>Impact Investments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fonds 1818</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends Provident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fondazione Cariplo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dreilinden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church of Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mistra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fondation de Luxembourg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SRI Approach</th>
<th>Negative Screening</th>
<th>Positive Screening</th>
<th>Thematic Funds</th>
<th>ESG Integration</th>
<th>Active Ownership</th>
<th>Impact Investing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fonds 1818</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends Provident</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fondazione Cariplo</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dreilinden</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church of Sweden</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mistra</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fondation de Luxembourg</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBU</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Is it particularly resource intensive?
5. Is it efficient in generating impact?
“Impact investing opened up the foundation. Now we are engaged in the market in new ways and talking to people we would never have met otherwise”.

– DANIELLE WALKER PALMOUR
6. How do I get started?

“For every foundation there is a way to make investments socially responsible. Be pragmatic, make your first steps and find your own way. It is easier than you think and it is the right thing to do for foundations”. – BOUDEWIJN DE BLIJ
Conclusions

• Big “toolbox” available – possible to achieve an interesting blend of financial and social returns
• But it needs the right degree of investment governance and know-how
• For smaller foundations collaborations with other foundations, the services of umbrella foundations and the support of consultants are key
• Mainstream consultants are not active in the field, and this is a problem. Banks/asset managers are slowly becoming more active, and this is positive
• National associations are becoming key centers of gravity for developing resources and spreading understanding
• Teaming up with academia is a win-win for bringing data and analysis to an under-researched topic with clear practical relevance